

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A DDI TO A TRONGS				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONCIDATABLO
10/082,009	02/20/2002	Tsu Shih	67,200-646	CONFIRMATION NO
7590 11/30/2004 TUNG & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
Suite 120			MARKOFF, ALE	
838 W. Long Lake Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =			1746	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 40				
Advisory Action		10/082,009	SHIH ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	_				
		Alexander Markoff	1746					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		orrespondence address					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
	a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing da	ate of the final rejection		ĺ				
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP							
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
	(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
	(D) Light they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
	NOTE:		my reported blainio.	.				
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
	5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		при					
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected:							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8	8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approv	ved or b) disapproved by the	- Fyaminer					
ç	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
1	0.		·					
		DER MARKOFF RY EXAMINER	No MX	#				
	PHIMAR	TI CAMBINGS	y ca ////					
		Α	lexander Markoff					

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1746

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection made under 35 USC 112(1) is maintained for the reasons of the record. It is again noted that the method of the invention is not preventing the "attack" on the copper oxide, but removes the oxide from the copper surface. The art rejection is also maintained for the reasons of the record. It is again noted that the prior art is concern about corrosion of copper surfaces and teaches blocking the light in order to prevent corrosion of copper surfaces.